





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,292	01/04/2002	Tommy Lee Davis JR.	43016/30480	8425	
29493	7590 11/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HUSCH & EPPENBERGER, LLC			BUCHANAN, CHRISTOPHER R		
190 CARONDELET PLAZA SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• •	MO 63105-3441		3627		

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/038,292	DAVIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Christopher R Buchanan	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 10/038,292

Art Unit: 3627



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers et al. in view of Conway.

With regard to claims 1-3, Bowers discloses a method for inventory management that includes the steps of affixing an identification tag to an inventory item (col. 2 line 10), identifying the item by scanning the tag with a scanning device (col. 2 line 43+), classifying the item by group (see Fig. 7), issuing the item (col. 4 line 54+), assigning the item to an individual (col. 4 line 52+, see Fig. 4), recording the return of the item (col. 5 line 3+), recording the usage of the item (col. 4 line 49+, see Fig. 4), and deactivating the item (col. 4 line 30+). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the inventory items could be equipment for a sports team. With regard to claim 4, retrieving information via the Internet is well-known and common practice. With regard to claim 5, information relating to an item is matched with a unique identification code (see Fig. 4).

Application/Control ...umber: 10/038,292

Art Unit: 3627

The method of Bowers differs from the claimed invention in that it does not include turning on a flag for a given item after the occurrence of a certain event and checking to see it the flag has been turned on.

Conway discloses a method for tracking and managing medical inventory items that includes turning on a flag for a given item after the occurrence of a certain event and checking to see it the flag has been turned on (col. 8 line 9+). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that a variety of tags could be created for various characteristics of the inventory items, such as groups, events, etc.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Bowers to include turning on a flag for a given item after the occurrence of a certain event and checking to see it the flag has been turned on, as taught by Conway, to provide additional information regarding the status of inventory items, particularly consumables, to aid in ordering of new items.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brook et al. disclose a method for tracking drugs in a hospital that uses a handheld scanner and individual ID tags. Mabry et al. disclose a RFID tag system for tracking silicon wafers. Pape et al. disclose a system for tracking items in a distribution facility.

Application/Control number: 10/038,292

Art Unit: 3627

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Buchanan whose telephone number is 703-306-5782. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

CKS

Christopher Buchanan November 13, 2003

> ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600